REMARKS

As a preliminary matter, it is noted that the Examiner has not initialed several prior art references listed in the IDS filed on July 28, 2009 because copies of said references were allegedly not provided. However, it is respectfully submitted that copies of said references were properly submitted to the USPTO as evidenced by the attached pages from PAIR.

In addition, upon review of the record, it is further noted that the three Japanese prior art references (JP '053, '867, '462) listed in the IDS filed April 6, 2006, as well as a technical publication ("Mizuno, B., "Ultra Shallow Junction for sub-50NM CMOS") and two US patent applications '572, '567) listed in the IDS filed March 28, 2008, also have not been initialed.

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner provide Applicants an initialed copy of the aforementioned IDS's indicating that each of the prior art references cited therein have been considered and made of record.

Claim 31 is independent and stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Maydan et al. '612 ("Maydan") in view of Yang '699 ("Yang") and Downey et al. '281 ("Downey"). This rejection is respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

Claim 31 recites in pertinent part, "forming an amorphous layer at a shallow region in a silicon <u>substrate</u> by irradiating a plasma containing <u>He...</u>" (emphasis added). The Examiner admits that Maydan does not disclose using He as the particular gas for forming an amorphous layer. The Examiner therefore relies on Yang as allegedly obviating this admitted deficiency of Maydan.

However, Yang discloses only using He as an inert element for forming an amorphous layer of a *polysilicon*, not the crystalline substrate of Maydan. Accordingly, even assuming

arguendo the teachings of Maydan and Yang can be properly combined, such a combination does not suggest forming an amorphous layer using He in specifically a silicon substrate. That is, Yang merely discloses a conventional process of forming an amorphous layer from a polysilicon. As would be recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art, an amorphous layer can be easily formed from a polysilicon using any elements (He or otherwise).

On the other hand, according to one aspect of the present invention, the claimed combination can make it possible to form an amorphous film from a hard single crystal <u>substrate</u>. In this regard, the element used for forming the amorphous film can be Hc which is relatively light (e.g., one-tenth of Ar). It is respectfully submitted that, at the time of invention, forming an amorphous film from a hard single crystal substrate using the relatively light He was not enabled by conventional technology.

Indeed, there is a technical leap for one of ordinary skill in the art to, using the relatively light element He, go from forming amorphous layers from polysilicon to forming amorphous layers from hard single crystal substrates. In this regard, Hc is relatively a very small and light element as compared to Si, Ge, Ar, Ne, Kr, etc.. In sum, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have considered, much less conceived of a viable manner to achieve, forming an amorphous layer from a hard single crystal substrate using specifically He with the known technology at the time of invention.

Accordingly, at the time of invention, it is respectfully submitted that at best the cited prior art disclosed only forming amorphous layers of silicon substrates using relatively heavy elements Xe,Ar (Maydan), or forming amorphous layers of polysilicon using any elements (Yang). In this regard, the teaching of Yang is not applicable to Maydan in that the cited prior art does not enable forming an amorphous layer of the hard crystal silicon substrate of Maydan

enables, forming an amorphous layer of specifically a hard silicon substrate using specifically a

using the light, small element of He taught in Yang. None of the cited prior art suggests, nor

light element such as He. Only Applicants have conceived of a viable manner in which such a

combination (light element He used to form amorphous layer of hard silicon substrate) can be

enabled.

Indeed, only Applicants recognized a reason to conceive such a combination.

Specifically, as described throughout Applicants' specification, the high coefficient of diffusion

and chemical stability of He enables only a small amount of He to possibly remain in the surface

after annealing; whereas, elements other than He and II, even if the annealing is done after the

impurity is diffused, would remain in the crystal.

Further, elements such as Ar, Si, Ge other than He and H have a large atomic radius so as

to cut the surface in plasma doping. In contrast, as He and H are relatively light atoms, the

present invention enables forming the amorphous layer of the single crystal without cutting the

surface.

In this regard, claim 1 further recites in pertinent part, "introducing boron by applying a

plasma to the shallow region of the silicon substrate; and applying light having an intensity peak

at a wavelength of 375nm or longer on the silicon substrate so that said shallow region is excited

selectively and the shallow junction is formed electrically activated with the boron." The

Examiner admits that Maydan does not disclose the aforementioned feature, and therefore relies

on Downey as allegedly obviating this admitted deficiency of Maydan.

Even assuming arguendo Yang suggested modifying the He co-implantation of Maydan

so that the He itself formed the amorphous layer, the broad activation annealing parameters

taught by Downey would teach away from the claimed combination. Specifically, the annealing

1

Ø 006/009

Application No.: 10/574,863

MAR 0 1 2010

of Downcy when configured for effecting a rapid temperature increase of the substrate surface would cause the He to leap explosively outwardly from the Si substrate, thereby potentially roughening the surface of the Si substrate so as to damage the MOSFET by which it would not function normally (increased level of defectiveness). In this regard, accordingly, the cited prior art teaches away from using the activation annealing process of Downey in combination with a He-effected amorphous layer specifically in a silicon substrate.

"All words in a claim must be considered in judging the patentability of that claim against the prior art." In re Wilson, 165 USPQ 494, 496 (CCPA 1970).

Under Federal Circuit guidelines, a dependent claim is nonobvious if the independent claim upon which it depends is allowable because all the limitations of the independent claim are contained in the dependent claims, Hartness International Inc. v. Simplimatic Engineering Co., 819 F.2d at 1100, 1108 (Fed. Cir. 1987). Accordingly, as claim 31 is patentable for the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that all claims dependent thereon are also patentable. In addition, it is respectfully submitted that the dependent claims are patentable based on their own merits by adding novel and non-obvious features to the combination.

Based on the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all pending claims are patentable over the cited prior art. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Having fully responded to all matters raised in the Office Action, Applicants submit that all claims are in condition for allowance, an indication for which is respectfully solicited. If there are any outstanding issues that might be resolved by an interview or an Examiner's

MAR 0 1 2010

amendment, the Examiner is requested to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number shown below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Ramyar M. Farid

Registration No. 46,692

600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096 Phone: 202.756.8000 RMF:MWE

Facsimile: 202.756.8087

Date: March 1, 2010

Please recognize our Customer No. 53080 as our correspondence address.

United States Patent & Trademark Office



Portal Home Trademarks 1 Other Patent eQuainess - 07 Patent Application Information Retrieval * Electronic Filing Order Certified Application As Filed Order Certified File Wrapper 🃜 View Order List *: Patent Application Information (PAIR) 雨 10/574,863 Method for making junction and processed material formed using the same * Patent Ownership Published Address & Documents Attorney/Agen Image File (History . Select Application New Case Date * Cess Supplemental Resources & 💉 This application is officially maintained in electronic form. To View: Click the desired Document Description. To Download and Print: Check the desired document(s) and click Start Download. Patent Information Available Documents Patent Guidance and General Info Document Codes, Rules & Manuals Select All Mail Room Date 👫 Page Count Start Download Clear All Document Description 🔱 * Employee & Office Directories Code 11 * Resources & Public Notices 02-18-2010 TRAN.LET Γ Transmittal Letter Patent Searches Information Patent Official Gazette Disclosure 02-18-2010 IDS .*! Search Patents & Applications Statement (IDS) : Search Biological Sequences Filed (SB/08) ** Copies, Products & Services 02-18-2010 NPL 6 NPL Documents 02-18-2010 NPL 16 NPL Documents 02-18-2010 NPL NPL Documents Copyrights Trademarks 02-16-2010 NPL NPI, Documents Policy & Law Reports NPL 02-18-2010 NPL Cocuments NPL 02-18-2010 NPI Documents Fee Worksheet 02-18-2010 WFEE (PTQ-875) **EFS** 02-18-2010 N417 Acknowledgment 3 Receipt: 12-01-2009 **CTFR** Final Rejection 12-01-2009 **EWCLM** 2 Index of Claros List of References cited by applicant 12-01-2009 1449 and considered by <u>ехарилет</u> Search information including 12-01-2009 SRFW classification. databases and other search related notes Amendment After 09-28-2009 A.NE Final 09-28-2009 СLМ Claims Applicant Arguments/Remarks 09-28-2009 REM Made in an Amendment 09-28-2009 TRANIFT Transmittal Letter 09-28-2009 XT/ <u>Extression of Lane</u> Fee Worksbeet 09-28-2009 WFEE (P7 (0+875) 07-28-2009 TRAN.LET 2 Transmittal Letter Information Disclosure 07-28-2009 IDS 2 Statement (IDS) Filed (SB/08) 07-28-2009 NPL 3 NPt Documents 07-28-2009 NPI NPL Documents 07-28-2009 NPL NPI Documents 07-28-2009 NPL NPL Documents 07-28-2009 NPL NPI Documents 07-28-2009 371P <u>Documents</u> submitted with 371

United States Patent & Trademark Office

Page 2 of 7

| 07-28-2009 | 371P | Applications <u>Cocuments</u> <u>submitted with 371</u> Applications | 4 - | Г |
|------------------------|----------|--|------|--------|
| 07-28-2009 | NPL | NPL Occuments | 4 | Г |
| 07-28-2009 | NPL | NPL Documents | 11 | Ē |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Foreign Reference | 118 | |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Foreign Reference | 39 | · |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Foreign Reference | 12 | · |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Foreign Reference: | В | · |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | <u> Poreign Reference</u> | 21 | , r |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Foreign Reference | 7 | · |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Fereign Reference | 5 | · — |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Foreign Reference | 5 | · - |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Foreian Reference | 7 | · - |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Foreign Reference | 14 | Ė |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Foreign Reference | 22 | · |
| 07-28-2009 | FOR | Foreign Reference | 5 | |
| 07-28-2009 | WFEE | l'ee Worksheet | 2 | , F |
| U1-20-200 9 | VVICE | (PTO-825) | 2 | ' |
| 07-28-2009 | N417 | <u>EFS</u> <u>Acknowledgment</u> <u>Receipt</u> | 5 | ۲ |
| 05-28-2009 | NRES | Letter Restorting Poriod for Response (i.e. Letter re: References) | 2 | ۲ |
| 05-28-2009 | NRES | <u>Letter Restarting</u> <u>Period for Response</u> (i.e. <u>Letter re:</u> <u>Peterences)</u> | 4 | ۲ |
| 05-28-2009 | 892 | List of interences | . 2 | Г |
| 05-28-2009 | FWCLM | Index of Claims | 2 | ۳- |
| 05-28-2009 | SRFW | Search information including classification. | 1 | · · |
| | | databases and other search related notes Examiner's search | _ | |
| 05-28-2009 | SRNT | strategy and results List of References Lited by applicant | 5 | _ _ |
| 05-28-2009 | 1449 | and considered by examiner | 1 | Г |
| 12-16-2008 | EXIN | Summary Record (PTOL 413) | 4 | ۲ |
| 12-16-2008 | EXIN | Examaler Interview Superiory Resorti (PTOL 413) | 1 | Γ |
| 11-20-2008 | TRAN.LET | Iransmittal Letter | 2 | Г |
| 11-20-2008 | IDS | Intermation Disclosure Statement (IDS) Fried (SB/08) | 1 | ۲ |
| 11-20-2008 | FOR | Foreign Reference | 24 | ŗ |
| 11-20-2008 | NPL | NPL Documents | 5 | Г |
| 11-20-2008 | NPL | NPL Documents | 7 | 1_ |
| 07-14-2008 | CTFR | Final Retection | 16 | ٢ |
| 07-14-2008 | 1449 | List of References cited by applicant and consulered by examiner Seach aromation | 13 . | ۲ |
| 07-14-2008 | SRFW | actadum, Classification, databases and other search related notes | 1 | Γ |
| 07-14-2008 | SRNT | Examiner's search | 1 | Г |